

CHART OF PROVEN AND/OR CONCEDED ACTUAL LOSS:

\$5,000	(Internship) (Count I)
\$5,680.23*	(Expenses aggregated into "Omaha Steaks" entry) (Count II)
\$3,286.89*	(Expenses aggregated into "Waterway" entry) (Count III)
\$8,599	(Excess reimbursement to Defendant for Sunset Country Club related expenses, per Defendant's Trial Exhibit Y) (uncharged)
<u>\$1,285</u>	(Shower door accidentally charged to medical account)
<b>= \$23,851.12</b>	

CHART OF UNPROVEN AND UNCHARGED ALLEGATIONS

\$23,282.25	(bonuses total: 2011, 2012, and 2013)
\$10,815	(suite at Cardinals game with Meyer Group- permissible business development expense)
\$10,000	(L. Chapman by herself to Ursuline for tuition rather than charity)
\$5,232	(to L. Chapman for paid time off – work that benefitted PARIC, not Defendant)
\$12,399.58	(Country Club losses unsupported by Defendant's Trial Exhibit Y, yet claimed by the Government nonetheless)
<u>\$65,848.57</u>	(American Express loss alleged, after reduced by totals in Counts II and III)
<b>= \$127,577.40</b>	

RECONCILIATION OF CHARTS ABOVE

\$23,851.12	(Proven and/or conceded actual loss)
<u>+\$127,577.40</u>	(Unproven allegations of loss)
<b>= \$151,427.52</b>	(Amount of loss on Presentence Investigation Report – minus 10 cent error thereon)

DEFENDANT'S REPAYMENTS TO PARIC FOR PERSONAL EXPENSES

\$1,500	(Personal Check 1642 – Personal Portion of Trust Trip – charged on Amex)
\$1,000	(Personal Check 1652 – Auction item – charged on Amex)
\$650	(Personal Check 1749 – LSS Dinner – charged on Amex)
\$2,334.75	(Check No. 32128, cashed and given to Lisa Chapman)
\$874.04	(Check No. 33690, cashed and given to Lisa Chapman)
\$4,400	(2012 bonus "scorecard" deduction)
\$2,000	(2013 bonus "scorecard" deduction – first portion of payment)
<u>\$2,000</u>	(planned 2013 bonus "scorecard" deduction – second portion of payment)
\$14,758.79	

\*This figure gives the benefit of the doubt to the Government, and presumes that the jury found *all* of the aggregated charges to have been without a legitimate business purpose. There is, unfortunately, no way of knowing whether the jury actually did so.